



Federal Communications Commission
Washington, D.C. 20554

DEC 8 1997

DOCKET FILE COPY ORIGINAL

EX PARTE OR LATE FILED

The Honorable Albert R. Wynn
U. S. House of Representatives
407 Cannon House Office Building
Washington, D.C. 20515-2004

RECEIVED

DEC - 9 1997

FEDERAL COMMUNICATIONS COMMISSION
OFFICE OF THE SECRETARY

Dear Congressman Wynn:

Thank you for your letter dated October 31, 1997, on behalf of your constituent, Mayor Andrew C. Hanko, who is concerned about the placement and construction of facilities for the provision of personal wireless services and radio and television broadcast services in his community of New Carrollton, Maryland. Your constituent's letter refers to three proceedings that are pending before the Commission. In MM Docket No. 97-182, the Commission has sought comments on a Petition for Further Notice of Proposed Rule Making filed by the National Association for Broadcasters and the Association for Maximum Service Television. In this proceeding, the petitioners ask the Commission to adopt a rule limiting the exercise of State and local zoning authority with respect to broadcast transmission facilities in order to facilitate the rapid build-out of digital television facilities, as required by the Commission's rules to fulfill Congress' mandate. In WT Docket No. 97-192, the Commission has sought comment on proposed procedures for reviewing requests for relief from State and local regulations that are alleged to impermissibly regulate the siting of personal wireless service facilities based on the environmental effects of radio frequency emissions, and related matters. Finally, in DA 96-2140 and FCC 97-264, the Commission twice sought comments on a Petition for Declaratory Ruling filed by the Cellular Telecommunications Industry Association seeking relief from certain State and local moratoria that have been imposed on the siting of commercial mobile radio service facilities.

Because all of these proceedings are still pending, we cannot comment on the merits of the issues at this time. However, I can assure you that the Commission is committed to providing a full opportunity for all interested parties to participate. The Commission has formally sought public comment in all three proceedings and, as a result, has received numerous comments from State and local governments, service providers, and the public at large. Your letter, as well as this response, will be placed in the record of all three proceedings and will be given full consideration.

12


The Honorable Albert R. Wynn

2.

Further information regarding the Commission's policies toward personal wireless service facilities siting, including many of the comments in the two proceedings involving personal wireless service facilities, is available on the Commission's internet site at <http://www.fcc.gov/wtb/siting>.

Thank you for your inquiry.

Sincerely,

A handwritten signature in black ink, appearing to read "David L. Furth", with a stylized, flowing script.

David L. Furth
Chief, Commercial Wireless Division
Wireless Telecommunications Bureau

ALBERT R. WYNN
4TH DISTRICT, MARYLAND

DEPUTY DEMOCRATIC WHIP

COMMITTEE
COMMERCE

TELECOMMUNICATIONS, TRADE
AND CONSUMER PROTECTION

ENERGY AND POWER



CONGRESS OF THE UNITED STATES
HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES
WASHINGTON, DC 20515-2004
October 31, 1997

WASHINGTON OFFICE

407 CANNON HOUSE OFFICE BLDG.
WASHINGTON, DC 20515 2004
(202) 225-8899
Web site: <http://www.house.gov/wynn/>

DISTRICT OFFICES

42205 BROAD COUNTRY RD 10
SPRINGDALE, MD 20774
(301) 773-4094

8801 GEORGIA AVENUE, #201
SILVER SPRING, MD 20910
(301) 588-7328

6009 OXON HILL ROAD
OXON HILL, MD 20745
(301) 839-6570

WB
Cellular
Towers

6978

Mr. William F. Kennard
Chairman
Federal Communications Commission
1919 M Street, N.W.
Washington, D.C. 20554

Dear Chairman Kennard:

I am writing on behalf of Mayor Andrew C. Hanco of the City of New Carrollton. Mayor Hanco has written me to express his concern for the Federal Communications Commission's (FCC) attempt to preempt local zoning of cellular, radio and television towers.

As a member of the Commerce Committee Subcommittee on Telecommunications and a supporter of the 1996 Telecommunications Act, it was not my intent to have the FCC preempt local zoning authority over cellular towers. I have enclosed a copy of Mayor Hanco's detailed letter to me on this issue. I would greatly appreciate it if you would personally review this correspondence and share with me the FCC's current position on local zoning authority.

Thank you in advance for your attention to this matter. I look forward to receiving your response.

Sincerely,

Albert R. Wynn
Member of Congress



CITY of NEW CARROLLTON

6016 PRINCESS GARDEN PARKWAY • NEW CARROLLTON, MARYLAND 20764-2698

(301) 459-8100 FAX (301) 459-8172

RECEIVED

OCT 31 1997

October 28, 1997

MAYOR

ANDREW C. HANKO

CITY COUNCIL

SARAH C. POTTER
CHAIRMAN

STEPHEN L. KELETI
VICE CHAIRMAN

JOHN A. SCHAFER
MAYOR PRO TEM

RAYMOND J. GARVEY
COUNCILMEMBER

ROSE MARIE HURDLE
COUNCILMEMBER

CITY OFFICERS

MARK L. LATOUR
ADMINISTRATIVE OFFICER

RICHARD L. ROBBINS
PUBLIC WORKS DIRECTOR

ROBERT A. MANZI, ESQ.
CITY ATTORNEY

EUGENIA V. CZUMAK
CITY CLERK

WASHINGTON OFFICE
ALBERT R. WYNN, MD

The Honorable Albert R. Wynn
United States House of Representatives
423 Cannon House Office Building
Washington, DC 20515-2004

Dear Representative Wynn:

I am writing you about the Federal Communications Commission and its attempts to preempt local zoning of cellular, radio and TV towers by making the FCC the "Federal Zoning Commission" for all cellular telephone and broadcast towers. Both Congress and the courts have long recognized that zoning is a peculiarly local function. Please immediately contact the FCC and tell it to stop these efforts which violate the intent of Congress, the Constitution and principles of Federalism.

In the 1996 Telecommunications Act, Congress expressly reaffirmed local zoning authority over cellular towers. It told the FCC to stop all rulemakings where the FCC was attempting to become a Federal Zoning Commission for such towers. Despite this instruction from Congress, the FCC is now attempting to preempt local zoning authority in three different rulemakings.

Cellular Towers - Radiation: Congress expressly preserved local zoning authority over cellular towers in the 1996 Telecommunications Act with the sole exception that municipalities cannot regulate the radiation from cellular antennas if it is within limits set by the FCC. The FCC is attempting to have the "exception swallow the rule" by using the limited authority Congress gave it over cellular tower radiation to review and reverse any cellular zoning decision in the U.S. which it finds is "tainted" by radiation concerns, even if the decision is otherwise perfectly permissible. In fact, the FCC is saying that it can "second guess" what the true reasons for a municipality's decision are, need not be bound by the stated reasons given by a municipality and doesn't even need to wait until a local planning decision is final before the FCC acts.

The Honorable Albert R. Wynn
October 28, 1997
Page Two

Some of our citizens are concerned about the radiation from cellular towers. We cannot prevent them from mentioning their concerns in a public hearing. In its rulemaking the FCC is saying that if any citizen raises this issue that this is sufficient basis for a cellular zoning decision to immediately be taken over by the FCC and potentially reversed, even if the municipality expressly says it is not considering such statements and the decision is completely valid on other grounds, such as the impact of the tower on property values or aesthetics.

Cellular Towers - Moratoria: Relatedly the FCC is proposing a rule banning the moratoria that some municipalities impose on cellular towers while they revise their zoning ordinances to accommodate the increase in the numbers of these towers. Again, this violates the Constitution and the directive from Congress preventing the FCC from becoming a Federal Zoning Commission.

Radio/TV Towers: The FCC's proposed rule on radio and TV towers is as bad: It sets an artificial limit of 21 to 45 days for municipalities to act on any local permit (environmental, building permit, zoning or other). Any permit request is automatically deemed granted if the municipality doesn't act in this time frame, even if the application is incomplete or clearly violates local law. And the FCC's proposed rule would prevent municipalities from considering the impacts such towers have on property values, the environment or aesthetics. Even safety requirements could be overridden by the FCC! And all appeals of zoning and permit denials would go to the FCC, not to the local courts.

This proposal is astounding when broadcast towers are some of the tallest structures known to man -- over 2,000 feet tall, taller than the Empire State Building. The FCC claims these changes are needed to allow TV stations to switch to High Definition Television quickly. But The Wall Street Journal and trade magazines state there is no way the FCC and broadcasters will meet the current schedule anyway, so there is no need to violate the rights of municipalities and their residents just to meet an artificial deadline.

These actions represent a power grab by the FCC to become the Federal Zoning Commission for cellular towers and broadcast towers. They violate the intent of Congress, the Constitution and principles of Federalism. This is particularly true given that the FCC is a single purpose agency, with no zoning expertise, that never saw a tower it didn't like.


Please do three things to stop the FCC: First, write new FCC Chairman William Kennard and FCC Commissioners Susan Ness.

The Honorable Albert R. Wynn
October 28, 1997
Page Three

Harold Furchtgott-Roth, Michael Powell and Gloria Tristani telling them to stop this intrusion on local zoning authority in cases WT 97-197, MM Docket 97-182 and DA 96-2140; second, join in the "Dear Colleague Letter" currently being prepared to go to the FCC from many members of Congress; and third, oppose any effort by Congress to grant the FCC the power to act as a "Federal Zoning Commission" and preempt local zoning authority.

The following people at national municipal organizations are familiar with the FCC's proposed rules and municipalities' objections to them: Barrie Tabin at the National League of Cities, 202-626-3194; Eileen Huggard at the National Association of Telecommunications Officers and Advisors, 703-506-3275; Robert Fogel at the National Association of Counties, 202-393-6226; Kevin McCarty at the U.S. Conference of Mayors, 202-293-7330; and Cheryl Maynard at the American Planning Association, 202-872-0611. Feel free to call them if you have questions.

Very truly yours,


Andrew C. Hanko
Mayor

ACH:ec

cc: [Please see attached list]